





			REPORT DOCUM	AD-A	169	108 -	
UNCLASS	T SECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION					
20 SECURI	TY CLASSIFICATION A	UTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION/A			- 1 h h d
26 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE				Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				AFOSR-TR- 86-0276			
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION			6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION  Air Force Office of Scientific Research			
	sity of South (		<u> </u>	<del></del>			search
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Department of Statistics				7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Directorate of Mathematical & Information			
-	stical Laborator			Sciences, Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448			
	ia S.C. 29208			1			
	OF FUNDING/SPONSOR	ING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			
AFOSR			NM	AFOSR-84-0156			
Bc. ADDRE	SS (City, State and ZIP C	ode)	<del></del>	10. SOURCE OF FU	NDING NOS.	<del></del>	
				PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT
Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448				61102F	2304	<b>A</b> 5	
	Include Security Classific			<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>
		OF EMPI	RICAL BAYES ESTI	MATORS		·	
12. PERSON	NAL AUTHOR(S)						
13a. TYPE	OF REPORT	13b TIME	OVERED	14 DATE OF REPO	RT (Yr , Mo., Day	/ 15. PAGE (	COUNT
	2000 cal	FROM	то	14 DATE OF REPORT (Yr. Mo. Day)			-
16. SUPPLE	MENTARY NOTATION		·			DT	CIE
17.			18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	Continue on reverse if ne	ecessary and ident	by block number	0 1000
FIELD	GROUP S	UB GR				2011	0 1986
19. ABSTR	ACT (Continue on reverse	if necessary ar	d identify by block number	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	174	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)  ABSTRACT					ر		
<b>&gt;</b> -	Let (6	),,x,),	$(\theta_n, x_n)$ be in	Idependent and	identical	lv die-	
8			ors with $E(x \theta)$			1) <b>41</b> 0-	
						, and	
Let $(\theta_1, x_1), \dots, (\theta_n, x_n)$ be independent and identically distributed random vectors with $E(x \theta) = \theta$ and $Var(x \theta) = a + b\theta + c\theta^2$ . Let $t_i$ be the linear Bayes estimator of $\theta_i$ and $\widetilde{\theta}_i$ be the linear empirical Bayes estimator of $\theta_i$ as proposed in Robbins (1983), when Ex and Var x are unknown to the statistician. The regret of using $\widetilde{\theta}_i$ instead of $t_i$ because of ignorance of the mean and the variance is $r_i = E(\widetilde{\theta}_i - \theta_i)^2 - E(t_i - \theta_i)^2$ .							
Robbins (1983), when Ex and Var x are unknown to the statisti-							
ಲ			using $\tilde{\theta}_{i}$ inst				
	ance of the	mean and	the variance is	$r_i = E(\tilde{\theta}_i - 1)$	$\theta_i^2 - E(t_i^2)$	$-\theta_{i}^{2}$ .	
20. DISTRI	BUTION/AVAILABILIT	Y OF ABSTRA	СТ	21. ABSTRACT SEC	URITY CLASSIF	ICATION	
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🛭 SAME AS RPT. 🗆 DTIC USERS 🗀				UNCLASSIFIED			
220 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL				22b TELEPHONE N		22c OFFICE SY	MBOL
Major Brian W. Woodruff				(202) 767-		NM	
DD FORM	M 1473, 83 APR		EDITION OF 1 JAN 73	IS OBSOLETE	TTN	CLASSIFIED	

Under appropriate conditions cumulative regret  $R_n = r_1 + \dots + r_n$  is shown to have a finite limit even when n tends to infinity. The limit can be explicitly computed in terms of a,b,c and the first four moments of x.

AFOSR-TR. 33-0276

ON THE BOUNDED REGRET OF EMPIRICAL BAYES ESTIMATORS

bу

Kai F. Yu

University of South Carolina
Statistics Technical Report No. 112
62C12-1

# **DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS**

The University of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina 29208

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



ON THE BOUNDED REGRET OF EMPIRICAL BAYES ESTIMATORS

bу

Kai F. Yu

University of South Carolina
Statistics Technical Report No. 112
62C12-1

May, 1985

Department of Statistics University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208



AIR TOWNS CONTROL OF THE TRANSPORT FRANCE CARSON

TO THE TOWN THE TAX THE TRANSPORT FRANCE CARSON

TO THE TAX THE TAX THE TAX THE TAX THE TRANSPORT CARSON

TO THE TAX THE TAX

#### ON THE BOUNDED REGRET OF EMPIRICAL BAYES ESTIMATORS

Kai F. Yu

Department of Statistics University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208

Key Words and Phrases: Empirical Bayes; bounded regret; uniform integrability.

## ABSTRACT

Let  $(\theta_1, x_1), \ldots, (\theta_n, x_n)$  be independent and identically distributed random vectors with  $E(x|\theta) = \theta$  and  $Var(x|\theta) = a + b\theta + c\theta^2$ . Let  $t_i$  be the linear Bayes estimator of  $\theta_i$  and  $\widetilde{\theta}_i$  be the linear empirical Bayes estimator of  $\theta_i$  as proposed in Robbins (1983), when Ex and Var x are unknown to the statistician. The regret of using  $\widetilde{\theta}_i$  instead of  $t_i$  because of ignorance of the mean and the variance is  $r_i = E(\widetilde{\theta}_i - \theta_i)^2 - E(t_i - \theta_i)^2$ . Under appropriate conditions cumulative regret  $R_n = r_1 + \ldots + r_n$  is shown to have a finite limit even when n tends to infinity. The limit can be explicitly computed in terms of a,b,c and the first four moments of x.

seedulon Fo					
NTIS CR48 DTIC TAB Under concer Under concer Under concer Under concer	Ē				
By Dutabation?					
A. Habiley Coues					
Dut Av. add, or S, 2021					
A-1					

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

In the first Jerzy Neyman Memorial Lecture, Robbins (1983) has outlined a wide class of problems concerning the general empirical Bayes approach and the linear empirical Bayes approach to estimation. In this paper we shall study a special case which includes several important standard distributions. Specifically let  $(\theta, \mathbf{x})$  be a random vector such that  $\theta$  has a distribution function G, and the conditional expectation of  $\mathbf{x}$  given  $\theta$  satisfies

$$E(x / \theta) = \theta. \tag{1.1}$$

Suppose it is desired to use a linear function A+Bx of the observed x to estimate the unknown parameter  $\theta$ . If the loss function is squared error, the best linear estimate is

$$t(x) = E\theta + \frac{Cov(\theta, x)}{Var x} (x-Ex) . \qquad (1.2)$$

and the mean squared error is

$$E(t-\theta)^{2} = Var \theta - \frac{Cov^{2}(\theta,x)}{Var x}. \qquad (1.3)$$

Assume, in addition to (1.1), that

$$Var(x|\theta) = a + b\theta + c\theta^{2}. \qquad (1.4)$$

for some known constants a,b, and c. Then (1.2) can be written as

$$t(x) = Ex + (1 - \frac{c \ Var \ x+a+b \ Ex+cE^2x}{(c+1) \ Var \ x}) (x-Ex)$$
 (1.5)

which is computable if Ex and Var x are known.

We shall be dealing with the case when Ex and Var x are unknown. However we are faced with a large number n of independent versions of the component problem:  $(\theta_1, x_1), \ldots, (\theta_n, x_n)$  are independent random vectors having the same distributions as  $(\theta, x)$ . Robbins (1983) has proposed to estimate Ex and Var x respectively by

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$
, and  $s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$ , (1.6)

and use the following statistic (with  $h = cs^2 + a + b\bar{x} + c\bar{x}^2$ ),

$$\tilde{\theta}_{i} = \bar{x} + (1 - \frac{h}{(c+1)s^{2}})^{+} (x_{i} - \bar{x})$$
 (1.7)

to estimate  $\theta_i$ , for each i=1,...,n. He has also hoped that under some mild restrictions on the nature of G, with some reasonable rapidity as n tends to infinity

$$E(\tilde{\theta}_i - \theta_i)^2 \rightarrow E(t - \theta)^2$$
 (1.8)

We shall assume that the best linear estimate (1.2) is also the best general estimate  $E(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ . This assumption will reduce the class of possible distributions for  $\theta$ . For instance, if  $\mathbf{x}$  has a distribution from an exponential family with parameter  $\theta$ , then the above assumption will limit the class of the prior distributions to the conjugate family. See Diaconis and Ylvisaker (1979). However, even this special case will be wide enough to include many standard distributions used in practice. In this case we shall verify (1.8). Indeed we shall consider the cumulative regret

$$R_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (E(\tilde{\theta}_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2} - E(t(x_{i}) - \theta_{i})^{2})$$
 (1.9)

of using  $\widetilde{\theta}_i$  instead of  $t(x_i)$  because of the statistician's ignorance of Ex and Var x. It can be shown that even as n goes to infinity  $R_n$  remains bounded so that (1.8) will hold. We summarize the main results in the following theorem and leave the proof to the next section.

Theorem 1. Let  $(\theta, x)$ ,  $(\theta_1, x_1)$ , ... be independent and identically distributed nondegenerate random vectors such that

(i) 
$$E(x|\theta) = \theta$$
,  $Var(x|\theta) = a+b\theta+c\theta^2$ .

(ii) 
$$E(\theta|x) = E\theta + (x-Ex) Cov(\theta,x)/Var x.$$

(iii) 
$$\operatorname{Ex}^6 < \infty$$
.

For each 
$$n=2,...$$
, and for each  $i=1,2,...,n$ , define  $\bar{x}=\bar{x}_n=\frac{1}{n}\sum\limits_{1}^{n}x_i$  and  $s^2=s_n^2=\frac{1}{n-1}\sum\limits_{1}^{n}(x_i-\bar{x})^2$ ,  $t_i=Ex+(1-\frac{c\ Var\ x+a+b\ Ex+cE^2x}{(c+1)\ Var\ x})\ (x_i-Ex)$ , (1.10)  $\bar{\theta}_i=\bar{x}+(1-\frac{cs^2+a+b\bar{x}+c\bar{x}^2}{(c+1)s^2})^+\ (x_i-\bar{x})$ , and  $R_n=\sum\limits_{1}^{n}(E(\bar{\theta}_i-\theta_i)^2-E(t_i-\theta_i)^2)$ .

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n = \frac{H^2}{(c+1)^2 \gamma^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{(c+1)^2 \gamma^6}$$
 (1.11)

where 
$$\mu = Ex$$
,  $\gamma^2 = Var x$ ,  $\mu_3 = E(x-\mu)^3$ ,  $\mu_4 = E(x-\mu)^4$ ,

 $H = c\gamma^2 + a + b\mu + c\mu^2$ , and

 $\sigma^2 = (\mu_4 - \gamma^4)(a+b\mu+c\mu^2)^2 + \gamma^6(b+2c\mu)^2$ 
 $= 2\gamma^2\mu_3(a+b\mu+c\mu^2)(b+2c\mu)$ 

For the special case when b=c=0, a slightly more general result can be established under weaker conditions. The result is in Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. Let  $(\theta_1, x_1)$ ,  $(\theta_2, x_2)$ , ... be independent random vectors satisfying the following conditions:

- (A) For all i,
  - (i)  $Ex_i = \mu$  and  $Var x_i = \gamma^2 > 0$ .
  - (ii)  $E(x_i | \theta_i) = \theta_i$  and  $Var(x_i | \theta_i) = a < \gamma^2$ .
  - (iii)  $E(\theta_i | x_i) = E\theta_i + (x_i \mu) Cov(\theta_i, x_i)/\gamma^2$ .
- (B) (iv)  $\{(x_i-\mu)^2, i \ge 1\}$  satisfies the Lindeberg condition.

(v) 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2$$
 converges in probability to  $\gamma^2$ , and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(x_i - \mu)^2$  is finite.

For each  $n = 2, \ldots$ , and each  $i=1,2,\ldots,n$ , put

$$\ddot{x} = \ddot{x}_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \quad s^{2} = s_{n}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \ddot{x})^{2}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$t_{i} = Ex_{i} + (1 - \frac{a}{Var x_{i}})(x_{i} - Ex_{i}), \quad \theta_{i} = \ddot{x} + (1 - \frac{a}{2})^{+}(x_{i} - \ddot{x}), \quad \text{and} \quad R_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (E(\tilde{\theta}_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2} - E(t_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2}).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n = \frac{a^2}{\gamma^2} + \frac{a^2}{\gamma^6} K,$$
 (1.14)

where

$$K = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} V_n$$
, and  $V_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(x_i - \mu)^2$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We need some preliminary results for Theorem 1.

<u>Lemma 1.</u> Let  $x,x_1,x_2,...$ , be independent and identically distributed random variables with  $Ex^4 < \infty$ . Let the following notation be used:  $\mu = Ex$ ,  $\gamma^2 = Var x$ ,  $\mu_3 = E(x-\mu)^3$  and  $\mu_4 = E(x-\mu)^4$ . For each  $n \ge 1$ , put

$$W_{1n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \mu)^{2} - \gamma^{2} \right), \qquad (2.1)$$

$$W_{2n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(x_{i} - \mu)^{2} - \gamma^{2} x_{i} \right), \text{ and}$$

$$W_{3n} = \sqrt{n} \left( \overline{x}^{2} - \mu^{2} \right).$$

Then as n tends to infinity,  $(W_{1n}, W_{2n}, W_{3n})$  converges in distribution to a multivariate normal distribution with mean Q and

covariance matrix  $\ddagger = (\sigma_{ij})$ , where

$$\sigma_{11} = \mu_4 - \gamma^4, \quad \sigma_{22} = \mu^2(\mu_4 - \gamma^4) + \gamma^6 - 2\gamma^2\mu\mu_3, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sigma_{33} = 4\mu^2\gamma^2, \quad \sigma_{12} = \mu(\mu_4 - \gamma^4) - \gamma^2\mu_3,$$

$$\sigma_{13} = 2\mu\mu_3, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{23} = 2\mu^2\mu_3 - 2\mu\gamma^4.$$

The proof of the Lemma is straightforward and is omitted.

Corollary 1. Under the same conditions as Lemma 1, as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $(a+c\mu^2)W_{1n} + bW_{2n} - c\gamma^2W_{3n}$  has an asymptotic normal distribution with mean 0 and variance

$$\sigma^{2} = (\mu_{4} - \gamma^{4}) (a + b\mu + c\mu^{2})^{2} + \gamma^{6} (b + 2c\mu)^{2}$$

$$- 2\gamma^{2} \mu_{3} (a + b\mu + c\mu^{2}) (b + 2c\mu).$$
(2.3)

<u>Lemma 2.</u> Let  $x,x_1,...$ , be independent and identically distributed random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance

$$y^2 = a + bEx + cE^2x + d,$$
 (2.4)

where a,b,c and d are constants and d > 0. Assume  $Ex^4 < \infty$ . For each  $n \ge 2$ , put

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$
 and  $s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$ . (2.5)

Then as n tends to infinity

$$nP[s^2 \le a+bx+cx^2] \to 0.$$
 (2.6)

<u>Proof:</u> Choose  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\epsilon = d - |c+1| \delta - |b+2\mu c| \sqrt{\delta} > 0$ . Then

$$P[s^{2} \le a+b\bar{x}+c\bar{x}^{2}]$$

$$\le P[\Sigma((x_{i}-\mu)^{2}-\gamma^{2}) \le na+nb\bar{x}+nc\bar{x}^{2}-n\gamma^{2}+n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}]$$

$$\le P[\Sigma((x_{i}-\mu)^{2}-\gamma^{2}) \le -\varepsilon n, (\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} < \delta j$$

$$+ P[(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \ge \delta j.$$
(2.7)

Let  $B = \{\Sigma((x_i - \mu)^2 - \gamma^2) \le -\varepsilon n\}$ . Then the first term in (2.7) is less than or equal to

$$P[|\Sigma((x_i - \mu)^2 - \gamma^2)| \ge \varepsilon n]$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 n^2} \int_B ((x_i - \mu)^2 - \gamma^2)^2 dP$$
(2.8)

which is o(1/n) as n tends to infinity by the uniform integrability of  $\{|(\Sigma((x_i-\mu)^2-\gamma^2))^2/n, n \ge 1\}$  implied by  $Ex^4 < \infty$ . For the second term in (2.7)

$$P[(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \ge \delta]$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\delta^{2}n^{4}} (n(E(x-\mu)^{4} - \gamma^{4}) + (3n^{2} - 2n)\gamma^{4})$$
(2.9)

which is o(1/n) as n tends to infinity. This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. Let  $x,x_1,...$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\gamma^2$ . Assume that  $Ex^6 < \infty$ . For each  $n \ge 2$ , put

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$
 and  $s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$ . (2.10)

Then the following families of random variables are uniformly integrable:

(i) 
$$\{ ns^2 (s^2 - \gamma^2)^2, n \ge 2 \},$$
  
(ii)  $\{ ns^2 (\bar{x} - \mu)^2, n \ge 2 \},$   
(iii)  $\{ ns^2 (\bar{x}^2 - \mu^2)^2, n \ge 2 \}.$ 

<u>Proof.</u> We shall verify (i) and (iii). The verification for (ii) is entirely analogous and hence omitted. For (i),

$$ns^{2}(s^{2}-\gamma^{2})^{2}$$

$$= n(s^{2}-\gamma^{2})^{3} + n\gamma^{2}(s^{2}-\gamma^{2})^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{K}{n^{2}} \left| \Sigma(x_{i}-\mu)^{2} - \gamma^{2} \right|^{3} + \frac{K}{n^{2}} |\gamma^{2} - n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}|^{3}$$

$$+ \frac{K\gamma^{2}}{n} \left| \Sigma(x_{i}-\mu)^{2} - \gamma^{2} \right|^{2} + \frac{K\gamma^{2}}{n} |\gamma^{2} - n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}|^{2},$$
(2.12)

for some constant K.

Since  $\operatorname{Ex}^6 < \infty$ , it is clear the four terms on the bottom line of (2.12) are uniformly integrable. For (iii), for any event D with P[D] small,

$$\operatorname{Ens}^{2}(\bar{x}^{2}-\mu^{2})^{2} I_{p}$$
 (2.13)

$$\leq K(E(\frac{\Sigma(x_1-\mu)^2}{n})^3 I_D)^{1/3} (E(n(\bar{x}^2-\mu^2)^2)^{3/2} I_D)^{2/3},$$

which can be made small uniformly in n.

Now we are ready to give the proofs. That the convergence in distribution of random variables together with uniform integrability implies moment convergence is used. For a reference, see (Chow and Teicher (1978), Section 8.1).

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$h = cs^2 + a+bx+cx^2$$
. (2.14)

From the identity

$$(\tilde{\theta}_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2} - (t_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2}$$

$$= (\tilde{\theta}_{i} - t_{i})^{2} + 2(\tilde{\theta}_{i} - t_{i})(t_{i} - \theta_{i});$$
(2.15)

taking expectation and summation and by assumptions (i) and (ii) we have the cumulative regret

$$R_{n} = \frac{H^{2}}{(c+1)^{2} \chi^{2}} + E(\frac{H}{(c+1)\chi^{2}} - \frac{h}{\max(h,(c+1)s^{2})})^{2} \Sigma(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$$
 (2.16)

As n tends to infinity  $s^2$  and h will go to  $\gamma^2$  and H respectively with probability one. Since, (see Robbins (1983)),

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{H}{c+1} + \text{Var } \theta, \qquad (2.17)$$

and  $\theta$  is nondegenerate, asymptotically the term inside the expectation sign in (2.16) is equivalent to

$$\frac{n}{(c+1)^{2}\gamma^{4}} \frac{(s^{2}H-\gamma^{2}h)^{2}}{s^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(c+1)^{2}\gamma^{4}s^{2}} ((a+c\mu^{2})\sqrt{n}(s^{2}-\gamma^{2}) + b\sqrt{n}(\mu s^{2}-\gamma^{2}x) - c\gamma^{2}\sqrt{n}(x^{2}-\mu^{2}))^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(c+1)^{2}\gamma^{6}} ((a+c\mu^{2}) \frac{\Sigma((x_{1}-\mu)^{2}-\gamma^{2})}{\sqrt{n}} + b \frac{\Sigma\mu(x_{1}-\mu)^{2}-\gamma^{2}x_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= c\gamma^{2}\sqrt{n}(x^{2}-\mu^{2}) + o_{n}(1))^{2}.$$
(2.18)

By Corollary 1 and (2.18),  $(\chi_1^2)$  denoting chi-squared with 1 d.f.),

$$T = \left(\frac{H}{(c+1)\gamma^2} - \frac{h}{\max(h,(c+1)s^2)}\right)^2 \Sigma(x_i - \bar{x})^2$$
 (2.19)

converges in distribution to  $\frac{\sigma^2}{(c+1)^2\gamma^6}\chi_1^2$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is defined

in (2.3) Next we shall show that  $\{T,n \geq 2\}$  is uniformly integrable so that as n tends to infinity

$$ET + \frac{\sigma^2}{(c+1)^2 \gamma^6}$$
 (2.20)

Let A be the event  $\{(c+1)s^2 \le h\}$ , then for some positive K

$$\begin{split} \text{ETI}_{\mathbf{A}} &\leq K \int_{\mathbf{A}} (na + nb\bar{\mathbf{x}} + nc\bar{\mathbf{x}}^2) dP \\ &\leq K(a + b\mu + c\mu^2) nP[\mathbf{A}] + Kbn \int_{\mathbf{A}} |\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu| dP + Kcn \int_{\mathbf{A}} |\bar{\mathbf{x}}^2 - \mu^2| dP \\ &\leq K(a + b\mu + c\mu^2) nP[\mathbf{A}] + Kb\sqrt{n} \left( \left( En(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^2 \right) P[\mathbf{A}] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ Kc\sqrt{n} \left( En(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^2 - \mu^2)^2 P[\mathbf{A}] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

which is o(1) by Lemma 2. On the complement of A,

$$\frac{(c+1)^2 \gamma^4}{2}$$
 T (2.22)

$$= \frac{(c+1)^2 \gamma^4}{2} \left( \frac{H}{(c+1)\gamma^2} - \frac{h}{(c+1)\gamma^2} + \frac{h}{(c+1)\gamma^2} - \frac{h}{(c+1)s^2} \right)^2 \Sigma (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$\leq (H-h)^{2} \Sigma (x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2} + \frac{nh^{2}}{s^{2}} (s^{2}-\gamma^{2})^{2}$$

$$\leq 4c^{2} (s^{2}-\gamma^{2})^{2} \Sigma (x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2} + 4b^{2} (\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \Sigma (x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}$$

$$+ 4c^{2} (\bar{x}^{2}-\mu^{2})^{2} \Sigma (x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2} + (c+1)^{2} ns^{2} (s^{2}-\gamma^{2})^{2}.$$

By Lemma 3, the four terms on the bottom line of (2.22) are uniformly integrable. Therefore we have the regret

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n = \frac{H^2}{(c+1)^2 \gamma^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{(c+1)^2 \gamma^6}.$$
 (2.23)

Remark. The expression for  $\sigma^2$  contains terms up to the fourth moment. Although it has terms of the eighth order (e.g.  $c^2 \mu^4 \mu_4$ ), the sixth moment assumption is to ensure the uniform integrability of  $\{(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Sigma((\mathbf{x_i} - \mu)^2 - \gamma^2))^3, n \ge 1\}$  in Lemma 3. Nevertheless it is reasonable to conjecture that condition (iii) in Theorem 1 can be replaced by  $\mathrm{Ex}^4 < \infty$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. From the identity

$$(\tilde{\theta}_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2} - (t_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2} = (\tilde{\theta}_{i} - t_{i})^{2} + 2(\tilde{\theta}_{i} - t_{i})(t_{i} - \theta_{i});$$
 (2.24)

taking summation and expectation and by assumptions (i), (ii), and (iii), and definition (1.13) we have the regret equal to

$$R_{n} = \frac{a^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} + a^{2} E(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} - \frac{1}{\max(s^{2}, a)})^{2} \Sigma(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}.$$
 (2.25)

Let  $v_i = Var(x_i - \mu)^2$  and  $V_n = v_1 + v_2 + ... + v_n$ . Consider the event  $A = \{s^2 \le a\}$ , then

$$E(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} - \frac{1}{\max(x^{2}, a)})^{2} \Sigma(x_{1} - \bar{x})^{2} I_{A}$$

$$\leq cn P[s^{2} \leq a]$$
(2.26)

for some positive constant c. And

$$P[s^{2} \le a] \le P[\Sigma(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}-\gamma^{2}) \le (a-\gamma^{2})n+n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}]$$

$$\le P[\Sigma(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}-\gamma^{2} \le (a-\gamma^{2})n+n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}, (\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} < \delta]$$

$$+ P[(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \ge \delta].$$
(2.27)

Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $a-\gamma^2+\delta = -\epsilon < 0$ . Let B be

$$\{\Sigma((x_i-\mu)^2 - \gamma^2) \le - \varepsilon n\},$$

then

$$nP[\Sigma((x_{i}-\mu)^{2} - \gamma^{2}) \le - \varepsilon n]$$

$$\le \frac{V_{n}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{B} \frac{(\Sigma((x_{i}-\mu)^{2}-\gamma^{2}))^{2}}{V_{n}} dP$$

which goes to zero as n tends to infinity by conditions (iv) and (v), and Brown's Theorem (see Chow and Teicher (1978), p. 398). Next, consider

$$nP[(\bar{x}-\mu)^2 \ge \delta]$$
 (2.29)  
 $\le \frac{n}{\delta^2} E(\bar{x}-\mu)^4 = \frac{1}{\delta^2 n^3} (\Sigma Var(x_i-\mu)^2 + (3n^2-2n)\gamma^4)$ 

which goes to zero as  $\, n \,$  tends to infinity by condition (v). On the complement of  $\, A \,$ , and for any event  $\, D \,$ 

$$E\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} - \frac{1}{\max(s^{2}, a)}\right)^{2} \Sigma(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} I_{A}^{c} I_{D}$$

$$= E\left(\frac{\Sigma(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} - (n-1)\gamma^{2}}{\gamma^{2} \Sigma(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}}\right)^{2} \Sigma(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} I_{A}^{c} I_{D}$$

$$\leq \frac{V_{n}}{(n-1)a\gamma^{4}} E\left(\frac{\Sigma(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} - (n-1)\gamma^{2}}{V_{n}}\right)^{2} I_{A}^{c} I_{D}$$
(2.30)

which may be made very small uniformly in n if P[D] is small for the same reason as in (2.28). Therefore the family

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^2} - \frac{1}{\max(s^2, a)} \right)^2 \Sigma(x_1 - \bar{x})^2, \ n \ge 2 \right\}$$
 (2.31)

is uniformly integrable. And by condition (v), as n tends to infinity s<sup>2</sup> tends to  $\gamma^2$  and  $\bar{x}$  tends to  $\mu$  in probability. By condition (iv), as n tends to infinity

$$\frac{\Sigma(x_1 - \overline{x})^2 - (n-1)\gamma^2}{\sqrt{V_n}} \rightarrow N(0,1) \text{ in distribution.}$$
 (2.32)

Hence

$$E\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2} - \frac{1}{\max(s^2, a)}\right)^2 \Sigma(x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^6} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{v_n}{n}; \qquad (2.33)$$

that is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n = \frac{a^2}{\gamma^2} + \frac{a^2}{\gamma^6} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{V_n}{n}.$$
 (2.34)

Corollary: Let  $(\theta_1, x_1)$ ,  $(\theta_2, x_2)$ , ... be independent and identically distributed random vectors satisfying condition (A) in the Theorem. If  $\operatorname{Ex}^4 < \infty$ ,  $R_n$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_i$  are defined in the same way as in (1.13) and (1.14), then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} R_n = \frac{a^2}{\gamma^2} E(\frac{x-\mu}{\gamma})^4. \tag{2.35}$$

Example 1. Suppose  $\theta$  has a common normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\tau^2$  and given  $\theta$ , x has a normal distribution with mean  $\theta$  and variance a. Then x has a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\gamma^2 = a + \tau^2$ . Obviously the conditions of the corollary hold; hence the regret  $R_n$  is  $3a^2/(a+\tau^2)+o(1)$ , as n tends to infinity.

 $\tilde{\theta}_{i}$  in this normal case is a variant of the James-Stein estimator, which has been studied extensively in the literature. See Efron and Morris (1973).

Example 2. Suppose  $\theta$  has an inverted gamma distribution with density function

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\beta}{\theta}\right)^{\alpha+i} \frac{e^{-\beta/\theta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\beta} & \text{if } \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha > 6$ , and given  $\theta$ , x has an exponential distribution with mean  $\theta$  and variance  $\theta^2$ . The conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 1 hold with a=b=0 and c=1. It can be computed that, for any  $0 \le p \le 6$ ;

$$Ex^{p} = \frac{\beta^{p} \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\alpha-p)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

If the linear empirical Bayes estimators are used, then the cumulative regret  $\ensuremath{R_n}$  will satisfy

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} R_n = \frac{2(\alpha-1)(\alpha^2-4\alpha+6)\beta^2}{\alpha^2(\alpha-2)(\alpha-3)(\alpha-4)}.$$

Example 3. Suppose  $\theta$  has a gamma distribution with the density function

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta \leq 0 \\ \frac{\beta^{\alpha} \theta^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta \theta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} & \text{if } \theta > 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive constants, and given  $\theta$ , x has a Poisson distribution with mean  $\theta$ . In this case, the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 1 hold with a=c=0 and b=1. If the linear empirical Bayes estimators are used, then the regret R will satisfy

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} R_n = \frac{3\alpha(\beta+1) + 2\beta+3}{(\beta+1)^2}.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks the referees for invaluable suggestions.

The research is supported by a University of South Carolina

Research and Productive Scholarship and the United States Air Force

Office of Scientific Research and Army Research Office under

Grant No. AFOSR-84-0156.

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Chow, Y. S. and Teicher, H. (1978). <u>Probability Theory</u>, Springer Verlag.
- Diaconis, P. and Ylvisaker, D. (1979). Conjugate priors for exponential families. Annals of Statistics, 7, 269-281.
- Efron, B. and Morris, C. (1973). Stein's estimation rule and its competitors an empirical Bayes approach. <u>Journal of American Statistical Association</u>, 68, 117-130.
- Robbins, H. (1983). Some thoughts on empirical Bayes estimation. Jerzy Newman Memorial Lecture. Annals of Statistics, 11, 713-723.

#### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

UNCLASSIFIED REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						
14 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS					
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY  2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHE	3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUM Statistics Technical Repo	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)					
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Department of Statistics	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Office of Scientific Research				
Gc. ADDRESS (City. State and ZIP Code) University of South Carol Columbia, SC 29208	7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)  Directorate of Mathematical & Information Sciences, Bolling AFB, DC 20332					
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
AFOSR, ARO	NM	AFOSR-84-0156				
8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUN	PROJECT	7464	WORK UNIT	
Bolling AFB, DC 20332	<del></del>	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F	2304	TASK NO. A5	NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) On the bounded regret of empi	nators.		1			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)  K. F. Y		·				
13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME ( Technical FROM		14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) May, 1985		15. PAGE COUNT		
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
17. COSATI CODES	ontinue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.	Empirical Baye	es; bounded regret; uniform integrability.				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)  Let $(\theta_1, x_1), \ldots, (\theta_n, x_n)$ be independent and identically distributed random vectors						
with $E(x \theta) = \theta$ and $Var(x \theta) = a + b\theta + c\theta^2$ . Let $t_i$ be the linear Bayes estimator of						
$\theta_i$ and $\theta_i$ be the linear empirical Bayes estimator of $\theta_i$ as proposed in Robbins (1983),						
when Ex and Var x are unknown to the statistician. The regret of using $\theta_i$ instead of						
t because of ignorance of the mean and the variance is $r_i = E(\theta_i - \theta_i)^2 - E(t_i - \theta_i)^2$ .						
Under appropriate conditions cumulative regret $R_n = r_1 + \dots + r_n$ is shown to have a finite						
limit even when n tends to infinity. The limit can be explicitly computed in terms of						
a,b,c and the first four moments of x.						
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA	СТ	21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION				
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🗗 SAME AS RPT	Unclassified					
22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIOUAL Maj. Brian W. Woodruff	22b. TELEPHONE NI (Include Area Co (202) 765-50	de i	22c. OFFICE SYM NM	BOL		

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
Statistics Technical Report No. 112	N/A	N/A	
4. TITLE (and Subtitio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
On the bounded regret of empirical	Technical		
		6 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
	Stat.Tech.Rpt. No. 112		
7. AUTHOR(#)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)	
K. F. Yu	MIPR ARO 139-85		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Department of Statistics		1	
University of South Carolina	61102 F 2304A5		
Columbia, SC 29208	N/A_		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE		
U. S. Army Research Office		May 1985	
Post Office Box 12211	13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
Research Triangle Park NC 27700	14		
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilleren	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
	Unclassified		
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

NA

The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Empirical Bayes; bounded regret; uniform integrability.

Let  $(\theta_1, x_1), \dots, (\theta_n, x_n)$  be independent and identically distributed random vectors with  $E(x|\theta) = \theta$  and  $Var(x|\theta) = a + b\theta + c\theta^2$ . Let  $t_i$  be the linear Bayes estimator of  $\theta_i$  and  $\theta_i$  be the linear empirical Bayes Estimator of  $\theta_i$  as proposed in Robbins (1983), when EX and Var x are unknown to the statistician. The regret of using  $\theta_i$  instead of  $t_i$  because of ignorance of the mean and the

### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

variance is  $r_i = E(\theta_i - \theta_i)^2 - E(t_i - \theta_i)^2$ . Under appropriate conditions cumulative regret  $R_n = r_1 + \ldots + r_n$  is shown to have a finite limit even when n tends to infinity. The limit can be explicitly computed in terms of a,b,c and the first four moments of x.